

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/829,620	04/10/2001	Jatinder Singh Sappal	600.1132	7989
23280	7590 07/16/2003			
DAVIDSON, DAVIDSON & KAPPEL, LLC			EXAMINER	
NEW YORK,	H AVENUE, 14TH FLOC NY 10018	LOOR DURAND, PAUL R		PAUL R
	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3721	0.
			DATE MAILED: 07/16/2003	9

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·							
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/829,620	SAPPAL ET AL.				
		Examin r	Art Unit				
		Paul Durand	3721				
Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period oure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from t, cause the application to become ABANDONI	imely filed lys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a)□	<u> </u>	is action is non-final.					
3)□	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
Disp sit	closed in accordance with the practice under ion of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.				
·	Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application	1.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) 8-11 is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)□	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7,12,13</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
•	The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>11 March 2002</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
_		a maile des consider OF LLO O D 440/	(2) (4) (0				
13)∐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
* 5	application from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•				
14) 🗌 A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(e) (to a provisional application).				
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachmen	t(s)						
2) Notic	ee of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>4</u>	5) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
S Potent and T	rademark Office						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Offic PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Application/Control Number: 09/829,620

Art Unit: 3721

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election without traverse of invention I in Paper No. 8 is acknowledged.
- 2. Claims 12 and 13 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in Paper No. 8.

Drawings

3. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(b) because they are incomplete. 37 CFR 1.83(b) reads as follows:

When the invention consists of an improvement on an old machine the drawing must when possible exhibit, in one or more views, the improved portion itself, disconnected from the old structure, and also in another view, so much only of the old structure as will suffice to show the connection of the invention therewith.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Figures 1 and 2 appear to be representations of the various working parts of the applicant's invention. From these figures, it is not clear to the examiner the exact layout of the folding and tucking jaws, spider mechanisms and the proper operation of the adjusting mechanism.

Figures 3 and 4 appear to be gearing schematics, which the specification relies heavily on to explain the applicant's invention and to which the examiner is not able to interpret the adjusting mechanism and parts that are pertinent the applicants invention.

Claim Objections

4. The numbering of claims is not in accordance with 37 CFR 1.126 which requires the original numbering of the claims to be preserved throughout the prosecution. When claims are canceled, the remaining claims must not be renumbered. When new claims are presented, they must be numbered consecutively beginning with the number next following the highest numbered claims previously presented (whether entered or not).

Misnumbered claim 6-12 have been renumbered 7-13.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 5. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 6. Claim 1-7,12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/829,620 Page 4

Art Unit: 3721

The specification and the drawings do not adequately show how the collect cylinder and the jaw cylinder function in relation to each other, the operation of the jaws on the jaw cylinder, the setting of the independently movable jaw, the mounting and supporting of the jaws on the spider and the operation of the adjusting center or gear.

Conclusion

- 7. In view of the degree of clarity and understanding of the invention as disclosed in the applicant's disclosure, the scope of the claims cannot be ascertained. Therefore, a determination of the allowability of the claimed subject matter cannot be made at this time.
- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Michalik, Calbrix et al, Mayr, Eckert, Shibuya et al, and Kostiza have been cited to show devices that the examiner believes may be similar to the claimed invention
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul Durand whose telephone number is 703-305-4962. The examiner can normally be reached on 0730-1800, Monday Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi I Rada can be reached on 703-308-2187. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9302 for regular communications and 703-872-9303 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 09/829,620

Art Unit: 3721

Page 5

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

Paul Durand July 9, 2003

Rinaldi I. Rada Supervisory Patent Examiner Group 3700